

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.4 FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFI		
10/606,547 06/26/2003		James R. Wason	END920020072	5225	
7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Andrew M. Calderon			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH		
Greenblum and 1950 Roland Cl	Bernstein P.L.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Reston, VA 20191			2176		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·						
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	<b>Application No.</b> 10/606,547		Applicant(s) WASON, JAMES R.			
		10/606,54						
		Examiner	•	Art Unit	·			
		Maikhanh I		2176				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for	• •			·				
WHICH - Extens after Si - If NO p - Failure Any rej	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicateriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I bely received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	<b>l.</b> hely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
_	Posponsivo to communication(s) filed a	n 05 January 2006	•					
· · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	☐ <u>00 January 2000</u> ☐ This action is no						
· —	Since this application is in condition for			secution as to the	marite ie			
	closed in accordance with the practice u							
		under Ex parte da	<i>1910</i> , 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4) <b>×</b> (	Claim(s) <u>1-38 and 43-50</u> is/are pending	in the application.		•				
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>39-42</u> is/are w	vithdrawn from con	sideration.					
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-38 and 43-50</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				,			
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.	•	,			
Applicatio	n Papers		•					
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
•	he drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/		d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			•	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1	ΓO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim for a laim for a laim for a laim b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1	. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have beer	n received.					
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have beer	n received in Applicati	on No				
. 3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the	he priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International	_ '	* 11.		-			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.				
	•							
Attachment(	s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date <u>_06/26/03</u> .	Ji30100)	6) Other:	atom replication (r° 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 2176

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Election filed 01/05/2006 to the original application filed 06/26/2003; IDS filed 06/26/2003.

- 2. Claims 1-38 and 43-50 are currently elected for examination. Claims 39-42 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1, 24, 43, and 48 are independent claims.
- 3. Applicant is required to cancel non-elected claims 39-42 in the next response to this office action.

#### Election/Restrictions

4. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-38 and 43-50) in the reply filed on 01/05/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden on the Examiner in examining all of the claims together. In fact, Applicant notes that both groups are classified in class 715. This is not found persuasive because of the fact that the embodiments may be searched together cannot preclude a requirement for restriction if their appearances are considered patentably distinct, since patentably distinct embodiments cannot be supported by a single formal design claim. In this case, there are two patentably distinct groups of claims, one is drawn to represent and manage documents having rich text for use by applications and the other is drawn to provide a spellchecker function for use with documents. Thus, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made.

Art Unit: 2176

## **Drawings**

5. Formal drawings filed on June 26, 2003 are acceptable by the Examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 7. The language of claims 1-38 raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a useful, concrete, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 8. Claims 1-38 do not appear to require any computer hardware to implement the claimed invention. These claims appear to define the metes and bounds of an invention comprised of software alone. There is no support (i.e., explicitly claimed computer hardware) in the body of claim. Software alone, without a machine, is incapable of transforming any physical subject matter by chemical, electrical, or mechanical acts. If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject

Art Unit: 2176

matter. In re Schrader, 22 F.3d 290 at 294-95, 30 USPQ2d 1455 at 1458-59 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Transformation of data by a machine constitutes statutory subject matter if the claimed invention as a whole accomplishes a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d 1368, 1373, 47 USPQ2d 1596 at 1600-02 (Fed. Cir. 1998). MPEP 2106. State Street required transformation of data by a machine before it applied the "useful, concrete, and tangible test." However, State Street does not hold that a "useful, concrete and tangible result" alone, without a machine, is sufficient for statutory subject matter. State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601. (See "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject matter Eligibility").

## Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
  - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 10. Claims 1-7, 19-20 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by **Prinzing** (U.S. 6,480,206 issued 11/2002, hereinafter Prinzing'206).

### As to claim 1:

Art Unit: 2176

Prinzing'206 teaches a method of representing and managing rich text for use by applications (see the Abstract), the method comprising the steps of:

- (i) providing one or more classes (e.g., provides a variety of ... classes) for use by the applications (e.g., accessible to GUI application) to at least create and manage (e.g., formatting and displaying) one or more rich text nodes (e.g., a hierarchy of text elements) in a memory structure representation representative of rich text (e.g., storing formatting information and attributes of the text elements and view objects 121 for formatting and displaying text on display device 105) [see the discussion beginning at col.3, line 43];
- (ii) representing the rich text in the memory structure representation (e.g., text 117 may be organized as a hierarchy of text elements including a document element, paragraphs elements, and character elements; col.3, lines 43-53); and
- (iii) editing the rich text in a document using the memory structure representation to perform editing functions on the document having the rich text as managed and created by the one or more classes (e.g., allows styles to be added and removed modularly by organizing the text within the document and objects that format the text hierarchically...new styles are added by adding the formatting information to a style object and associating a new view object capable of generating a view of the new style with the corresponding text element in the hierarchy; col.2, lines 44-61).

### As to claim 2:

Art Unit: 2176

Prinzing'206 teaches providing a rich text list class (e.g., provides a variety of ... classes) for managing (e.g., formatting and displaying) the one or more rich text nodes (e.g., a hierarchy of text elements) in the memory structure representation (e.g., level in hierarchy); providing a rich text class (e.g., provides a variety of ... classes) to create the one or more rich text nodes each representing a unit of rich text and its attributes (e.g., provide formatting attributes such as bold, underline, and italic for text) and instantiating the rich text list class and the rich text class [col.3, lines 6-53 & col.4, lines 58-66].

### As to claim 3:

Prinzing'206 teaches the representing rich text step includes representing string representations (e.g., character elements; col.3, line 50 & sequence of characters; col.4, line 65).

#### As to claim 4:

Prinzing'206 teaches the string representations comprise, among other things, plain text (e.g., text 117; col.3, lines 43).

### As to claim 5:

Prinzing'206 teaches providing rich text attributes (e.g. attributes), wherein the attributes include, among other things, italicized (e.g., italic object) [col.4, lines 58-67].

### As to claim 6:

Prinzing'206 teaches providing properties associated with the one or more rich text nodes, the properties comprising, among other things, text (e.g., text 117; col.3, line 43).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,547

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 7:

Prinzing'206 teaches the rich text node comprises a table node for defining a table (e.g.,

Page 7

a linear table of attributes; col.4, lines 8-10).

As to claim 19:

Prinzing'206 teaches responding to a request for editing a document containing the rich

text; presenting rich text editing controls for editing the document; and accepting changes

to the document (e.g., styles to be added or removed modularly by organizing the text;

col.2, lines 44-47) using one or more classes including a rich text class and a rich text list

class for editing the document (col.3, lines 6-53 & col.4, lines 58-66).

As to claim 20:

Prinzing'206 teaches the accepting changes step includes accepting changes, among

other things, text (e.g., styles to be added or removed modularly by organizing the text;

col.2, lines 44-47).

As to claim 48:

It is directed to a computer program product for implementing the method of claim 1, and

is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to claims 49-50:

They include the same limitations as in claims 2-3 respectively, and are similarly rejected

under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2176

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 8-15, 24-31, 33-35, 43-45, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Prinzing'206** in view of **Prinzing** (U.S. 6,470,364 – issued 10/2002, hereinafter Prinzing'364).

#### As to claim 8:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "the table node includes at least one of a table header node and a table body node, for defining the characteristics and format of the table."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches the table node includes at least one of a table header node and a table body node, for defining the characteristics and format of the table (see item 416 in fig.4).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

Art Unit: 2176

### As to claim 9:

a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "one or more heading cell nodes, each heading cell node defining another rich text node."

- b. Prinzing'364 teaches one or more heading cell nodes, each heading cell node defining another rich text node (col.7, lines 22-34).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 10:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "one or more table row nodes for defining an individual row within the table."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches one or more table row nodes for defining an individual row within the table (col. 7, lines 22-34).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 11:

Application/Control Number: 10/606,547

Art Unit: 2176

a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "one or more row cell nodes for defining rich text in a cell in the individual row, each of the one or more row cell nodes defining another rich text node."

Page 10

- b. Prinzing'364 teaches one or more row cell nodes for defining rich text in a cell in the individual row, each of the one or more row cell nodes defining another rich text node (col.7, lines 22-34).
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 12:

a. Prinzing'206 teaches providing well-formed segments of text to a current rich text node of the one or more rich text nodes from a rich text list node (e.g., divide text in document into a hierarchical arrangement of text elements; see item 502 in fig.5); parsing the well-formed segments of text (col.2, lines 31-54); setting attributes in the current rich text node, the attributes including, among other things, bold (e.g., formatting attributes such as bold; col.4, lines 58-67).

Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "assigning unparsed segments of text to the current rich text node's text attribute; and resolving the current rich text node's text attribute by extracting tag in formation."

Art Unit: 2176

b. Prinzing'364 teaches assigning unparsed segments of text to the current rich text node's text attribute; and resolving the current rich text node's text attribute by extracting tag in formation (col.10, lines 6-34 & table 3).

c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 13:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "suppressing certain tags associated with some the unparsed segments by changing starting and ending tags to substitution strings; checking whether the starting and ending tags are in proper order and eliminating pairs of the starting and the ending tags that have null content; converting some of the substitution strings to original values; and reconstituting the well-formed segments of text into one string when pairs of starting and end tags are eliminated."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches suppressing certain tags associated with some the unparsed segments by changing starting and ending tags to substitution strings; checking whether the starting and ending tags are in proper order and eliminating pairs of the starting and the ending tags that have null content; converting some of the substitution strings to original values; and reconstituting the well-formed

Art Unit: 2176

segments of text into one string when pairs of starting and end tags are eliminated (col.7, lines 10-62 & table 8).

c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 14:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "restoring table related tags; and breaking the well-formed segments at table tags and organizing the broken segments into a new rich text list node with entries of at least one of vectors and string."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches restoring table related tags; and breaking the well-formed segments at table tags and organizing the broken segments into a new rich text list node with entries of at least one of vectors and string (col.7, lines 10-62 & table 8).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

#### As to claim 15:

Art Unit: 2176

a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "the text is at least one of hypertext mark-up language and extensible mark-up language."

- b. Prinzing'364 teaches the text is, among other things, hypertext mark-up language (e.g., HTML; col. 6, line 50).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for creating different views of text for display on a screen and printing on a printer.

### As to claim 43:

- a. Prinzing'206 teaches an apparatus for providing a means for representing and managing rich text for used by applications (see the Abstract), the apparatus comprising:
  - (i) a component representing rich text in a memory structure representation (e.g., text 117 may be organized as a hierarchy of text elements including a document element, paragraphs elements, and character elements; col.3, lines 43-53);
  - (ii) a component providing one or more classes (e.g., provides a variety of ... classes) for use by the application (e.g., accessible to GUI application) to create the memory structure representation (e.g., storing formatting information and attributes of the text elements and view objects 121 for formatting and displaying text on display device 105) [see the discussion beginning at col.3, line 43];

Application/Control Number: 10/606,547

Art Unit: 2176

Page 14

wherein the one or more classes includes, a rich text list class (e.g., organizes the text in the document into a hierarchical arrangement of text elements) for managing one or more rich text nodes (e.g., formatting a text element) and a rich text class to create one or more rich text (e.g., Each style object may include the formatting information for different levels of the text element hierarchy.

Accordingly, a document style object is associated with the document element, paragraph style objects are associated with the paragraph elements, and character style objects are associated with the character elements. Next, the method associates style objects with the text elements) each representing a unit (e.g., a document element, a paragraph element, and character elements) of a rich text and its attributes (e.g., attributes) [col.5, lines 43-62].

- b. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach the use of Web based applications and browsers.
- c. Prinzing'364 teaches the use of Web based application (e.g., GUI application including Java source code 222, HTML source code 224; col.4, line 63-col.5, line 16) and browsers (e.g., a new editor kit can be downloaded from a server on the Internet; col.11, lines 45-49 & table 1).
- d. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided an improved method for

Art Unit: 2176

customizing text components that edit a particular type of text and have a particular type of user interface style.

### As to claim 44:

Prinzing'206 teaches a component instantiating the rich text list class and the rich text class; and a component editing rich text in a document using the rich text class (col.3, lines 6-53 & col.4, lines 58-66).

### As to claim 45:

Prinzing'206 teaches the component for representing rich text includes representing a string (e.g., character elements; col.3, line 50 & sequence of characters; col.4, line 65), the string including, among other things, plain text (e.g., text 117; col.3, lines 43).

### As to claim 47:

- a. Prinzing'206 teaches a component (e.g. memory 102) representing rich text in a memory structure representation (e.g., text 117 may be organized as a hierarchy of text elements including a document element, paragraphs elements, and character elements; col.3, lines 43-53) and the component (e.g., a develop kit 'DK'126) providing one or more classes (e.g., provides a variety of ... classes) for use by the application (e.g., accessible to GUI application) and is contained, among other things, a library (e.g. variety of libraries) [col.3, lines 43-53].
- b. Refer to claim 34 for rejection of "Web based applications and browsers".

# As to claim 24:

a. It is directed to a method for presenting the apparatus of claim 43, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale. Additionally, Prinzing'206 teaches providing

Art Unit: 2176

well-formed segments of text to the one or more current rich text nodes (e.g., divide text in document into a hierarchical arrangement of text elements; see item 502 in fig.5) from a rich text list node to initialize the current rich text nodes for representing rich text in a document (e.g., provides style objects having information used to describe attributes and information for formatting a text element (step 504). Each style object may include the formatting information for different levels of the text element hierarchy; col.5, lines 50-55).

b. Note that claim 24 does not require the use of Web-based applications and browsers.

### As to claim 25:

Prinzing'206 teaches instantiating the rich text list class and the rich text class (col.3, lines 6-53 & col.4, lines 58-66); and editing the rich text in the document using the rich text nodes created by the rich text class (col.3, lines 6-31).

### As to claim 26:

Prinzing'206 teaches the representing rich text step includes representing string representations (e.g., character elements; col.3, line 50 & sequence of characters; col.4, line 65 & fig.2), the string representations including, among other things, plain text (e.g., text 117; col.3, lines 43).

### As to claim 27:

Prinzing'206 teaches the rich text includes attributes (e.g., attributes), among other things, underlined (e.g., underline)[col.4, lines 58-67].

### As to claim 28:

Art Unit: 2176

Prinzing'206 teaches the one or more rich text node includes properties (e.g. attributes), the properties comprising, among other things, text (e.g., text elements)[col.4, lines 1-4].

### As to claim 29:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "a table node for defining a table and the table node includes at least one of a table header node and a table body node, for defining the characteristics and format of the table."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches a table node for defining a table and the table node includes at least one of a table header node and a table body node, for defining the characteristics and format of the table (col.7, lines 10-34).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 30:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "one or more heading cell nodes, each heading cell node defining another rich text node, and wherein the table body node comprises one or more table row nodes for defining an individual row within the table."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches one or more heading cell nodes, each heading cell node defining another rich text node, and wherein the table body node comprises one or

Art Unit: 2176

more table row nodes for defining an individual row within the table (col.7, lines 10-34).

c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

### As to claim 31:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not teach "one or more row cell nodes for defining rich text in a cell in the individual row, each of the one or more row cell nodes defining another rich text node."
- b. Prinzing'364 teaches one or more row cell nodes for defining rich text in a cell in the individual row, each of the one or more row cell nodes defining another rich text node (col. 7, lines 10-34).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Prinzing'364 in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for generating a text component corresponding to a selectable user interface style and the type of content associated with the text component.

## As to claims 33-34 & 35:

Art Unit: 2176

They include the same limitations as in claims 13-14 & 12 respectively, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

13. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Prinzing** (hereinafter Prinzing'206) in view of **Domini et al.** (U.S. 6,085,206, issued 07/04/2000).

### As to claim 21:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "responding to a spell checking request;

  presenting a spell check panel that displays spelling alternatives to a misspelled word associated with the one or more rich text nodes; and accepting a spelling substitution."
- b. Domini teaches responding to a spell checking request; presenting a spell check panel that displays spelling alternatives to a misspelled word associated with the one or more rich text nodes; and accepting a spelling substitution (col.10, line 1-col.11, line 67 & col.13, line 10-col.14, line 5).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Domini in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for verifying the accuracy of the grammatical composition of a sentence and the spelling of words within the sentence in an electronic document (as taught by Domini; Abstract).

### As to claim 22:

Art Unit: 2176

a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "the responding to a spell checking request step includes searching a spelling dictionary to locate one or more words for presentation in the spell check panel."

- b. Domini teaches the responding to a spell checking request step includes searching a spelling dictionary to locate one or more words for presentation in the spell check panel (col. 20, lines 9-34).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Domini in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for verifying the accuracy of the grammatical composition of a sentence and the spelling of words within the sentence in an electronic document (as taught by Domini; Abstract).

### As to claim 23:

- a. Prinzing'206 does not specifically teach "the one or more words in the dictionary each have one or more associated signatures to aid in locating a match for the misspelled word."
- b. Domini teaches the one or more words in the dictionary each have one or more associated signatures to aid in locating a match for the misspelled word (col.17, lines 37-67).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Domini in the system of Prinzing'206 because it would have provided the capability for verifying the

Art Unit: 2176

accuracy of the grammatical composition of a sentence and the spelling of words within the sentence in an electronic document (as taught by Domini; Abstract).

14. Claims 32 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Prinzing (hereinafter Prinzing'206) in view of Prinzing (hereinafter Prinzing'364) as applied to claims 24 and 43 above and further in view of Domini et al.

### As to claim 32:

- a. The combination of Prinzing'206 and Prinzing'364 does not specifically teach "providing a spell checker class for use by the applications for locating replacement words in the document having rich text."
- b. Domini teaches providing a spell checker class for use by the applications for locating replacement words in the document having rich text (col.9, lines 6-67 & col.11, line 61-col.12, line 58).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Domini in the system of Prinzing'206 as modified by Prinzing'364 because it would have provided the capability for verifying the accuracy of the grammatical composition of a sentence and the spelling of words within the sentence in an electronic document (as taught by Domini; Abstract).

### As to claim 46:

Application/Control Number: 10/606,547

Art Unit: 2176

a. The combination of Prinzing'206 and Prinzing'364 does not teach "a component for providing spell checking using the memory structure representation."

Page 22

- b. Domini teaches a component for providing spell checking using the memory structure representation (col.11, lines 9-67).
- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature from Domini in the system of Prinzing'206 as modified by Prinzing'364 because it would have provided the capability for verifying the accuracy of the grammatical composition of a sentence and the spelling of words within the sentence in an electronic document (as taught by Domin; Abstract).

### Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 16-18 and 36-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Subject to 101 rejection and subject to a final update search.

### Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Anderson et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5,832,268 Issued: Nov. 3, 1998

- Berner et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5,977,967 Issued: Nov. 2, 1999

Art Unit: 2176

Domini et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,085,206 Issued: Jul. 4, 2000

Henckel et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,105,036 Issued: Aug. 15, 2000

Prinzing et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,496,202 Issued: Dec. 17, 2002

Shiigi et al. U.S. Pub. 2003/0014442 A1 Pub. Date: Jan. 16, 2003

Wei U.S. Pub. 2003/0200254 A1 Pub. Date: Oct. 23, 2003

- Chen et al., "A GUI Environment to Manipulate FSMs for Testing GUI-based Applications in Java," 2001, IEEE, pp. 1-10.

# Contact information

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am – 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

413/20de